## T.D. INFORMAL MEMO: DO NOT MAIL THIS MEMO TO APPLICANT

DATE:		08~Jul-05	<u>08-Jul-05</u>			09/759,443		
TO: EXAMINER		<u>LaForgia, (</u>			ART UNIT:	<u>2131</u>		
FROM: MATTHEWS JA			JAMES	÷			Case Drop-Off Lo	
PARALEGAL SPECIALIST RETURN THIS MEMO TO: RND-1								
SUBJECT: Decision on Terminal Disclaimer (T.D.) filed: 27-Jun-05								
par plea API	agraphs ase see r PLICANT	dentified by this info ne or the Special Pro	d the submitted T.D. with rmal memo in your next o ogram Examiner. THIS I FRECORD IN THE APP	Office action to notify ap S AN INFORMAL, INTE	plicant of the T.D. I	If you disagree or hav Y. IT MUST NOT BE	e any questions, (1) MAILED TO	
The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see ¶14.23).								
The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see ¶ 14.24):								
	_	The TD fee of has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account (see ¶ 14.26.07).						
		The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see ¶¶ 14.26 & 14.26.01).						
		The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see ¶ 14.27.01).						
		The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see ¶¶ 14.26 & 14.26.02).						
. [	The	The person who signed the T.D.:						
	is not an attorney "of record" (see ¶¶ 14.29 and 14.29.01).							
	h	as failed to state his/he	failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see ¶ 14.28).					
	i	not recognized as an	officer of the assignee (see	¶¶ 14.29 & possible 14.29	02).			
_	spec	No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see ¶ 14.30).						
	The '	Γ.D. is not signed (see	¶¶14.26 & 14.26.03).					
		The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see ¶ 14.32).						
		erial number of this ap ¶¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or ∃	oplication (or the number of 4.26.05).	the patent in reexam or re	issue cases being disc	claimed is missing or inc	correct	
	The p	The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see ¶¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).						
	Other:							
Suggestion to request refund (see ¶ 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.								
I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.								
Ex. Initials: Date: Log Date:								
Special Program Database, Version 2.1 (Rev. 5/98) Routing Slip Printed On: Friday, July 08, 2005 6:45:36								